

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

<b>MARY E. PRICE</b>	:	<b>CIVIL ACTION</b>
<i>Plaintiff, pro se</i>	:	
	:	<b>NO. 17-5790</b>
<b>v.</b>	:	
	:	
<b>COMMONWEALTH CHARTER</b>	:	
<b>ACADEMY - CYBER SCHOOL</b>	:	
<i>Defendant</i>	:	

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 12<sup>th</sup> day of September 2019, upon consideration of Defendant's *motion for summary judgment*, [ECF 33], Plaintiff's *motion for summary judgment*,<sup>1</sup> [ECF 34], and all documents filed in connection therewith, [ECF 38, 39, 45-47],<sup>2</sup> it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1. Plaintiff's retaliation claim at Count IV is **DISMISSED** for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction;
2. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, [ECF 34], is **DENIED**;
3. Defendant's motion for summary judgment, [ECF 33], is **GRANTED**; and
4. Plaintiff's motion to introduce additional evidence, [ECF 34-1], is **DENIED**.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ Nitza I. Quiñones Alejandro  
**NITZA I. QUIÑONES ALEJANDRO**  
*Judge, United States District Court*

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court is treating Plaintiff's memorandum in support summary judgment on the administrative and supplemental record, [ECF 34], as a motion for summary judgment.

<sup>2</sup> These documents include the parties' respective responses to the summary judgment motions, [ECF 38, 39], the parties' Court-ordered supplemental briefs concerning Plaintiff's retaliation claim, [ECF 45, 46], and Plaintiff's response to Defendant's supplemental brief. [ECF 47].